Chil

BOSTON CHICAGO

HOUSTON LOS ANGELES

NEWARK

NEW YORK SAN FRANCISCO

WILMINGTON

BEIJING BRUSSELS BUDAPEST FRANKFURT

HONG KONG LONDON MOSCOW PARIS

PRAGUE SYDNEY TOKYO TORONTO

## SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM

1440 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 RECEIVED

(202) 371-7000

JJAN 3 1 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

January 31, 1995

## By Hand Delivery

FAX: (202) 393-5760

DIRECT DIAL

(202) 371-

Mr. William F. Caton **Acting Secretary** Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

cc 94-46

Re:

Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico, Inc.

Petition for Reconsideration

Revision of Part 22 of the Commission's Rules

CC Docket No. 92-115 et. al.

Reply to Comments and Oppositions

Dear Mr. Caton:

On January 30, 1995, Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico, Inc. ("CCPR") filed its Reply to Comments and Oppositions following from its Petition for Reconsideration of the Commission's Order in the above-cited dockets. Pursuant to Section 1.49 of the Commission's Rules, CCPR herewith submits three (3) microfiche copies of its Petition.

If you have any questions concerning this filing, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

David H. Pawlik

Counsel for Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico,

Inc.

**Enclosures** 

No. of Copies rec'd List A 8 C D E

# Before the

JAN 3 1 1995

## Federal Communications Commission OFFICE OF SECRETARY Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)
Revision of Part 22 of the Commission's	) CC Docket No. 92-115
Rules Governing the Public Mobile Services	}
Amendment of Part 22 of the Commission's	) CC Docket No. 94-46
Rules to Delete Section 22.119 and Permit	) RM 8367
the Concurrent Use of Transmitters in	)
Common Carrier and Non-common Carrier	)
Service	)
Amendment of Part 22 of the Commission's	) CC Docket No. 93-116
Rules Pertaining to Power Limits for Paging	)
Stations Operating in the 931 MHz Band in	)
the Public Land Mobile Services	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

## Reply to Comments and Oppositions submitted by CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS OF PUERTO RICO, INC.

Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico, Inc. ("CCPR"), through its counsel, hereby responds to comments made following CCPR's petition to the Commission to reconsider the Rules adopted in its Report and Order (FCC 94-201) in the above-cited dockets released September 9, 1994. CCPR, through its affiliates, is the nonwireline cellular licensee in eleven of the twelve cellular MSAs and RSAs in Puerto Rico and in both RSAs in the United States Virgin Islands.

 No Petitions, Oppositions, or Comments Filed with the Commission in this Proceeding Contest CCPR's Proposal Regarding CGSA Modifications over Open Water.

Among the recommendations that CCPR made in its *Petition for*Reconsideration filed December 19, 1994, was the suggestion that the Commission not require filings, either notifications on Form 489 or applications on Form 600, for changes to CGSAs made over large bodies of open water (with the exception of the Gulf of Mexico, which is its own cellular market area). No party opposed or otherwise objected to this recommendation. Other petitioners urged the Commission, as CCPR did, to clarify that filings are not required for changes to cell sites that form the internal CGSAs of individual MSAs or RSAs within consolidated, wide-area cellular systems. Several factors support this policy. It would result in a significant savings of time, effort, and money for licensees and for the Commission by reducing the number of filings that would need to be processed. It would not deprive other licensees of information or notifications necessary for the efficient operation of their systems, because their service areas would not be affected.

These considerations apply even more powerfully to the situation wherein a change to a cell creates a change in a CGSA only over large bodies of open water,

<sup>1</sup> CCPR Petition for Reconsideration at 2-4.

Joint Petition of AirTouch Communications, Inc. and U S WEST NewVector Group, Inc. at 12; GTE's Comments and Opposition at 6-8.

Lakes. No other cellular licensee could claim the right to serve such areas over open water, so requiring such filings does not protect the interests of adjacent carriers. The public interest is served because such changes improve the quality of cellular service for subscribers on land. There are no countervailing policy or public interest considerations that would be served by requiring filings. Under the Commission's new Part 22 rules, a modification on land that reduces — even slightly — a CGSA over large bodies of water would require a Form 489 filing. If the proposed CGSA were to cover previously unserved areas over large bodies of open water, a Phase 2 Unserved Area application on Form 600 would be required, taking a minimum of 90 days to obtain the Commission's consent. Either filing represents a waste of administrative and licensee resources without a public service justification.

#### 2. Suggested Rule Changes.

CCPR recommends that the following modifications be made to the Commission's new Part 22 rules:

47 C.F.R. § 22.163(e): The first sentence should be changed as follows:

Licensees in the Cellular Radiotelephone Service must notify the FCC (FCC Form 489) of any modifications made under this section that cause a change in the Cellular Geographic Service Area boundary (including the removal of a transmitter or transmitters) except when such change encompasses only water areas (including uninhabited reefs).

### 47 C.F.R. § 22.165(e): The third sentence should be changed as follows:

Licensees must notify the FCC (FCC Form 489) of any transmitters added under this section that cause a change in the CGSA boundary except when such change encompasses only water areas (including uninhabited reefs).

#### 47 C.F.R. § 22.951: A fifth sentence should be added as follows:

Applications for authority to operate a new cellular system in an unserved area, other than those filed by the licensee of an existing system that abuts the unserved area, must not propose coverage of water areas only (or water areas and uninhabited reefs only), except for unserved areas in the Gulf of Mexico MSA. Licensees in existing systems abutting the Atlantic or Pacific Oceans, the Caribbean Sea, or the Great Lakes are not required to apply for authority to modify their CGSA boundaries when changes would encompass only water areas (including uninhabited reefs).

If the Commission does not agree with CCPR that no filing at all should be required in these circumstances, at the very least it should revise its new rules so that only a notification, on FCC Form 489, would be required for adding area to a CGSA when such area encompasses only portions of large bodies of open water.

The petitions, comments, and replies in this proceeding indicate that the amendments discussed herein would further the public interest.

Respectfully submitted, CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS OF PUERTO RICO, INC.

> Thomas J. Casey Jay L. Birnbaum David H. Pawlik

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom 1440 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 371-7000

H.Tru

Its attorneys

January 30, 1995

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 30th day of January, 1995, I caused copies of the "Reply to Comments and Oppositions submitted by Cellular Communications of Puerto Rico, Inc." to be mailed via first-class postage prepaid mail to the following:

David A. Gross
Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Airtouch Communications, Inc.
1818 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Donald M. Mukai U S West New Vector Group, Inc. 3350 - 161st Avenue, S.E. Bellevue, Washington 98008

Kathryn A. Zachem Kenneth D. Patrich Wilkinson, Barker, Knauer & Quinn 1735 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

L. Andrew Tollin
Michael Deuel Sullivan
Robert G. Kirk
Wilkinson, Barker, Knauer & Quinn
1735 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20006

William B. Barfield
Jim O. Llewellyn
BellSouth Corporation
1155 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3610

Charles P. Featherstun
David G. Richards
BellSouth Corporation
1133 21st Street, N.W., Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20036

Ron Foster, President CellTek Corporation 4647T Hwy 280 E., Suite 260 Birmingham, Alabama 35242

John Mitchell Cellular Paging Systems, Inc. 3122 West Marshall Street Richmond, Virginia 23230

Timothy J. Fitzgibbon
Thomas F. Bardo
Carter, Ledyard & Milburn
1350 I Street, N.W., Suite 870
Washington, D.C. 20005

David C. Jatlow Young & Jatlow 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Zachary Len Gibson America Re Cell Address Not Included on Petition

Andre J. Lachance GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Edwin G. Jones 6445 Prestonshire Dallas, Texas 75225 Cathleen A. Massey
McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc.
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Grier C. Raclin
Francis E. Flether
Anne M. Stamper
Gardner, Carton & Douglas
1301 K Street, N.W.
Suite 900, East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005

Eric J. Schimmel, Vice President
James Caile, Chairman
Mobile and Personal Communications
800 Section
Telecommunications Industry Association
2500 Wilson Blvd., Suite 300
Arlington, Virginia 22201

M. G. Heavener, President MTC Communications Box 2171 Gaithersburg, Maryland 20886

Tom A. Lippo FACT Law-Business Group 412 First Street, S.E., Suite One Washington, D.C. 20003

Steve Jones, Proprietor Sound & Cell 2925 W. Navy Blvd. Pensecola, FL 32505 Wayne Watts, Vice President & General Attorney Bruce E. Beard Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems, Inc. 17330 Preston Road, Suite 100A Dallas, Texas 75252

M.C. Stephan 5002 Mussetter Road Ijamsville, Maryland 21754

Louis Gurman
Doane F. Kiechel
Gurman, Kurtis, Blask & Freedman,
Chartered
1400 16th Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036

Callalily E. Norsum

#### DOCUMENT OFF-LINE

This page has been substituted for one of the following:

o An oversize page or document (such as a map) which was too large to be scanned into the RIPS system.

Microfilm, microform, certain photographs or videotape. (See 92-115)

o Other materials which, for one reason or another, could not be scanned into the RIPS system.

The actual document, page(s) or materials may be reviewed by contacting an Information Technician. Please note the applicable docket or rulemaking number, document type and any other relevant information about the document in order to ensure speedy retrieval by the Information Technician.